

surat 1

----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Journal SPG** <[paper\\_received@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_received@sciencepg.com)>

Date: Sen, 26 Apr 2021 pukul 13.42

Subject: Dear Author, Confirming Receipt of Your Paper[3961237]

To: <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Dear Author,

Warmest greetings from the editorial office of *International Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*.

We would like to confirm with you that we have received your paper, and it is under processing now.

**Your**

**paper:**

Paper Number: 3961237

Paper Title: Fungicidal Action of Coconut Waste Liquid-smoke on Citrus Fruit-rot Pathogens (Penicillium digitatum and Penicillium italicum)

We find that there is no account matching your offered email address, so we have created the following account for you. You can track the status of your submitted paper with this account.

Username:

[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)

Initial Password: 633478

The Online Tracking System: <https://sso.sciencepublishinggroup.com/login>

For security purposes, you are required to assign a strong password to the newly created account once you logged into the system.

**Please kindly note that:**

1. The review results will be sent to you via [paper\\_revision02@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_revision02@sciencepg.com).
2. After your manuscript is accepted, the acceptance letter will be sent via [acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com).
3. Please add these email addresses into the contacts, whitelist or safe senders list in your email system to make sure that emails always reach the inbox.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us.

Best wishes,

Will Smith

Editorial assistant

surat 2

----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **BUDY RAHMAT** <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Date: Jum, 30 Apr 2021 pukul 13.48

Subject: Re: SciencePG:Pre-review Results for Your Manuscript [3961237]

To: Journal SPG <[paper\\_received@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_received@sciencepg.com)>

Dear Editor,

I am happy that my manuscript is received and further processed. Thank you for the attention of the SPG editor.

We are waiting for further progress

Best regards,

Budy Rahmat

Pada tanggal Sel, 27 Apr 2021 pukul 18.32 Journal SPG <[paper\\_received@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_received@sciencepg.com)> menulis:

Dear Author,

Warmest greetings from the editorial office of ***International Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology***.

We would like to confirm with you that we have received your paper, and it is under processing now.

**Your**

**paper:**

Paper Number: 3961237

Paper Title: Fungicidal Action of Coconut Waste Liquid-smoke on Citrus Fruit-rot Pathogens (*Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*)

Your manuscript has passed the pre-review.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Best wishes,

Will Smith

Editorial assistant



----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **BUDY RAHMAT** <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Date: Kam, 20 Mei 2021 pukul 08.48

Subject: Re: SciencePG: Final Edited Paper [3961237]

To: Taylor Doris <[acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com)>

Dear. SPG Journal editor

We approve to the Paper [3961237] final revision, as attached. Furthermore, we hope it will be published soon.

Thank you for your attention and fast paper processing.

Bests regards,

Budy Rahmat

Author

Pada tanggal Rab, 19 Mei 2021 pukul 13.53 Taylor Doris

<[acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com)> menulis:

Dear Budy Rahmat,

This is your final edited paper, please check and confirm. If you need to make any further changes, please **revise them on the attachment directly**.

**Please highlight the corrections (mark yellow) on the version of your paper.**

For the section "To cite this article", please don't make any changes.

We are looking forward to your prompt reply.

Best wishes,

Doris Taylor

Editorial assistant

Science Publishing Group, USA

# Manuscript Review Form

## Instructions to Reviewers:

All materials submitted to *IJMB* for consideration are confidential, and should not be distributed, shared, used or otherwise supplied to third parties prior to publication.

Please tell the Editors if there is any conflict of interest in reviewing of the paper.

Please respond within the allotted time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

Article Number:	IJMB_3961237_20210424
Article Title:	Fungicidal Action of Coconut Waste Liquid-smoke on Citrus Fruit-rot Pathogens ( <i>Penicillium digitatum</i> and <i>Penicillium italicum</i> )

## 1. Assessment of the article:

Please evaluate the quality of the article from the following aspects (and rate them from "Excellent" to "Poor").

Review items	Excellent	Good	Moderate	Poor	Bad
The title is specific and reflects the main ideas of the article.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The literature review and significance of the article are explained clearly.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
References are up-dated, adequate and correctly cited.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The structure is compact, sequential and logical.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 2. Overall Recommendation (tick one of the following levels):

Accepted, no revision needed.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revisions needed.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 3. Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

**Please provide an overall evaluation of the manuscript briefly (100-200 words):**

For the manuscripts that cannot be published, please specify the inadequacies.

## Manuscript Review Form

This is a comprehensive paper. The whole structure and logic of this paper are good. The Material and Methods section provides sufficient information. Meanwhile, the detailed discussion is given. The authors introduce the study background clearly and the figure and tables in the paper well illustrate the study. However, there are still some parts which need to be modified in the paper. The authors are advised to make some revisions so that the paper will be better.

**Please provide reasons for acceptance or rejection as well as any suggestions that you might feel are appropriate for revisions or improvements.**

Perspectives: title, abstract, literature review, research methods, figures, tables, language efficiency, structural logic, innovation points, etc.

1. Please check the full text carefully to ensure that there are **no spelling and grammatical errors**.
2. Please check and confirm whether title 2.1 is correctly written.
3. At least two titles are needed at the same level. There is only one third level title 2.2.1 under the title 2.2. You should at least add a title 2.2.2 or delete title 2.2.1.
4. For every entry in the reference list, there should be a corresponding in-text citation. There are no in-text citations for references [11] and [16] in the main body. Please check and revise.
5. Please proofread the tables carefully to avoid any possible mistakes while reporting results.

### Confidential Comments (if any):

Note that any comments in this section will not be shown to the authors.

### Authors' Feedback of Reviewer's Work to SciencePG

Specific evaluation to the Reviewer's review result	Rating Result
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

## Manuscript Review Form

--	--



----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Taylor Doris** <[acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com)>

Date: Kam, 20 Mei 2021 pukul 13.56

Subject: SciencePG:Receipt of Your Final Confirmed Paper [3961237]

To: BUDY RAHMAT <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Dear Budy Rahmat,

Thanks for your final revised paper.

Your paper will be published within 15 days if there is no further problem.

You can pay attention to:

<http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/journal/archive?journalid=396&issueid=-1>

We will also inform you after it is published.

Best wishes,

Doris Taylor  
Editorial assistant  
Science Publishing Group, USA

On Thu, May 20, 2021 at 9:48 AM BUDY RAHMAT <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)> wrote:  
Dear. SPG Journal editor

We approve to the Paper [3961237] final revision, as attached. Furthermore, we hope it will be published soon.

Thank you for your attention and fast paper processing.

Bests regards,

Budy Rahmat  
Author

Pada tanggal Rab, 19 Mei 2021 pukul 13.53 Taylor Doris <[acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com)>  
menulis:  
Dear Budy Rahmat,

This is your final edited paper, please check and confirm. If you need to make any further changes, please **revise them on the attachment directly**.

**Please highlight the corrections (mark yellow) on the version of your paper.**

For the section "To cite this article", please don't make any changes.

We are looking forward to your prompt reply.

Best wishes,

Doris Taylor  
Editorial assistant  
Science Publishing Group, USA

----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Taylor Doris** <[acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com)>

Date: Sab, 29 Mei 2021 pukul 08.49

Subject: From SciencePG: Publishing Notification for Your Paper [3961237 ]

To: BUDY RAHMAT <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Dear

Budy

Rahmat,

We are glad to inform you that your paper:  
Paper Number: 3961237

Paper Title: Fungicidal Action of Coconut Waste Liquid-Smoke on Citrus Fruit-Rot Pathogens (*Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*)

has been published in ***International Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology***.

To increase the visibility, you can share your paper with colleagues, friends, the media and the general public which can foster the exchange of scientific information in your field and allow your paper to contribute to wider scientific progress. Here is the link for your reference:

<http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/journal/paperinfo?journalid=396&doi=10.11648/j.ijmb.20210602.14>

We would appreciate it if you can do us a favor by inviting your friends to register and submit their manuscripts to Science Publishing Group. Thanks for your great efforts.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact us.

Best wishes,

Doris Taylor  
Editorial assistant  
Science Publishing Group, USA

----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Paper Revision** <[paper\\_revision02@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_revision02@sciencepg.com)>

Date: Sen, 10 Mei 2021 pukul 16.55

Subject: SciencePG:Review Results for Your Manuscript Numbered [3961237]

To: <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Dear Budy Rahmat,

Greetings from SciencePG.

Your manuscript has been peer-reviewed. According to the review results, your manuscript can be accepted for publication after it has been revised and meets the journal's publication requirements.

Attached you can find (1) the typeset version manuscript; (2) review results from the peer reviewers.

**Please revise your manuscript with the following guidance.**

**1. Please download the typeset version manuscript in this email and make all revisions on the typeset version. DO NOT revise on your original manuscript.**

2. Please **mark yellow** all the contents you have revised.

3. If you need to change your manuscript title, please inform us first.

4. Please send back your revised manuscript to this Email [paper\\_revision02@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_revision02@sciencepg.com) within 2 weeks. If you need to extend the time, please also inform us.

Once received your revised manuscript, we will send it to the Editorial members for checking. The sooner your revised manuscript reaches us, the earlier it will be accepted for publication in the journal.

We are looking forward to your reply.

--

Best regards,  
Judy Garland  
Editorial assistant  
Science Publishing Group, USA



----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Paper Revision** <[paper\\_revision02@sciencepg.com](mailto:paper_revision02@sciencepg.com)>

Date: Kam, 13 Mei 2021 pukul 08.24

Subject: SciencePG: Receipt of Your Revised Manuscript [3961237]

To: BUDY RAHMAT <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)>

Dear Budy Rahmat,

Thanks for your email.

We have received your revised manuscript [3961237].

Later, we will send you the acceptance letter and final edited paper for your checking.

**For further procedure, we'll contact you via [acceptance01@sciencepg.com](mailto:acceptance01@sciencepg.com).**

Any questions, please feel free to contact us.

On Wed, May 12, 2021 at 11:27 AM BUDY RAHMAT <[budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id](mailto:budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id)> wrote:  
Dear Reviewer and Editor SciencePG

We express our appreciation and thanks for our manuscript review process. The revised manuscript is attached.

Best regards,

Budy Rahmat  
Author

--

Best regards,  
Judy Garland  
Editorial assistant  
Science Publishing Group, USA

# Fungicidal Action of Coconut Waste Liquid-Smoke on Citrus Fruit-Rot Pathogens (*Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*)

Budy Rahmat\*, Alaik Zhilalul Haq Albaki

Agrotechnology Departement, Faculty of Agriculture, Siliwangi University, Tasikmalaya City, Indonesia

## Email address:

budyrahmat@unsil.ac.id (B. Rahmat), alaikalbaki.haq@gmail.com (A. Z. H. Albaki)

\*Corresponding author

## To cite this article:

Budy Rahmat, Alaik Zhilalul Haq Albaki. Fungicidal Action of Coconut Waste Liquid-Smoke on Citrus Fruit-Rot Pathogens (*Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*). *International Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. Vol. x, No. x, 2021, pp. x-x. doi: 10.11648/j.XXXX.2021XXXX.XX

Received: MM DD, 2021; Accepted: MM DD, 2021; Published: MM DD, 2021

**Abstract:** The purpose of this study was to determine the fungicidal action of liquid smoke generated from young coconut waste for infection of green rot (*Penicillium digitatum*) and blue rot (*Penicillium italicum*) pathogens in postharvest citrus fruit. The pyrolysis of 1 kg of young-coconut resulted in 409 mL of crude liquid smoke, and became 300 mL of distilled liquid smoke. The resulting distilled liquid smoke has the following characteristics: brownish-yellow in color, pH of 2, specific density of 1.02 g. mL<sup>-1</sup>, and a total acid content of 10.7. Liquid smoke produced from young coconut waste was of good quality, in accordance with international quality standards. The overall characteristics of liquid smoke from coconut waste meet international liquid smoke standards, which include specific gravity, color, acidity, and pH in the required range and the absence of dispersed substances. The results showed that in *in vitro* testing, liquid smoke treatment at a concentration of 2.5% was able to retard the mycelium of *Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum* with 100% inhibition. Whereas in *in vivo* testing, liquid smoke treatment at a concentration of 75% was able to retard the increase in the lesion diameter of the *P. italicum* fungal infection by 76.1%. However, all concentrations of liquid smoke treatments had no effect on *P. digitatum*. Treatment of the concentration of liquid smoke had no effect on the lesion diameter of the green rot infection on citrus fruit. Whereas in blue rot disease, the concentration of 50, 75, and 100% liquid smoke treatment gave different lesion diameter compared to the control, but among the treatments there was no difference in the lesion diameter. This proved that the treatment of liquid smoke concentration of 50% was established to have fungicidal action against blue rot disease on citrus fruit.

**Keywords:** Citrus, Fruit rot, Fungicidal, Liquid smoke, *Penicillium*

## 1. Introduction

Food and Agriculture Organization reported that global average loss due to the food postharvest losses was about 38% in Industrialized Asia, Africa, Latin America and South East Asia. Among all the factors for reducing the losses on food supply, postharvest diseases of fruits present a major factor that causes the postharvest losses and limits the duration of storage [1].

Citrus are vulnerable to the postharvest decay caused by *Penicillium digitatum*, *Penicillium italicum*, and *Geotrichum citri-aurantii*, which are responsible for the

green mold, blue mold, and sour rot post-harvest disease, respectively [2].

Diseases that often infect citrus fruits are green rot and blue rot, each caused by the pathogenic fungus *Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*. The occurrence of blue rot higher than green rot. Two symptoms of this disease can be distinguished based on the color of the spores or conidia of the fungus that forms on the surface of citrus fruit, which green on the green rot and blue on the blue rot [3].

The imazalil fungicide used to control *Penicillium* rot diseases was proven to give good results. The use of synthetic pesticides was considered an effective procedure to prevent infection. However, the use of these pesticides always reaps



polemics about their negative adverse effects on human and the environment health [4]. In the further study, proved of the nine *P. digitatum* strains tested, six of them had imazalil resistance with different levels. While the results of testing on five strains of *P. italicum* had not shown resistance to imazalil [5].

Alternative solutions to synthetic pesticide problems can be solved by using botanical pesticides. One kind of safe botanical pesticides are liquid smoke or wood vinegar as a product of the thermochemical conversion of woody biomass into organic acid compounds, phenols, alcohols, carbonyls, ketones, pyridine, etc. which act as antimicrobials and antioxidants [6]. Phenol and furaldehyde compounds present in liquid smoke are thought to be the main components, both of which work to inhibit the growth of fungi, so that liquid smoke has the potential for the substitution of synthetic fungicides [7].

Liquid smoke also produced from agricultural waste such as corncobs, fruit bunches, and various fruit shells which also contain lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose compounds or also called lignocellulosic wastes. One of the fruit shells produced by young coconut ice traders in the City of Tasikmalaya was not utilized properly, so the waste accumulated to the landfill site. The waste takes a long time to decompose by microbiological and weather decomposition. Thus, the pyrolysis process of this waste will reduce its flow to the landfill [8].

The aim of this research was to produce liquid smoke from young coconut waste and to test its fungicidal action on fungus-pathogens of citrus fruit, i.e. *Penicillium digitatum* (green rot) and *Penicillium italicum* (blue rot).

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Preparation Infected Fruits, Chemical Substances, and Liquid Smoke

Materials used include Siamese citrus that were ripe picked from the farmer's garden in Mekarjaya Village, Bayongbong District, Garut Regency, marked by a yellow tinge on the fruit. Young coconut shell waste was collected from four young coconut ice traders in Tasikmalaya City, potato dextrose agar (PDA), aquades, tween 20 (polisorbat 20) and pure pathogenic cultures of *Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*.

While the tools used were hemocytometer, light microscope, disposable petridish, petridish, laminar air flow, tray, glassware, measuring pipette, micro pipette, digital balance, hot plate magnetic stirrer, drying place, thermometer, hygrometer, mini photo studio light box, wood moisture meter and a set of pyrolysis tools.

The young coconut liquid smoke was made by pyrolysis following the procedure of Rahmat *et al.* [8]. Then the resulting coarse liquid smoke was redistilled using a glass distillator in the temperature range of 100 to 110°C. Redistillation was done to remove tar and purify liquid smoke so as not to stain during application. Furthermore, liquid smoke was characterized based on Japanese liquid smoke quality standards, which include: specific gravity, color,

transparency, pH, phenol compound content and acid content.

### 2.2. Experimental Procedures

The *in vivo* experiment consisted of 30 treatments and was repeated three times, so that 30 experimental units were needed. If each unit was filled with three citrus fruits, then the total fruit needed were 90. The fruits were cleaned using a 5% soap solution, then rinsed with water and dried. The uniformity of maturity was treated with the degreening method [9].

The pathogen was inoculated by dripping 20 µL of conidia suspension onto the surface of the injured fruit. The conidia density was regulated at 10<sup>6</sup> ml<sup>-1</sup> [4]. Determination of density was calculated using a hemocytometer. The wound was done using a needle that has been sterilized first. The purpose of injury was for infection to occur considering that *Penicillium* is a weak pathogen that attacks through wounds or weak tissue [3, 10]. Furthermore, the fruits were incubated in a plastic box with more than 90% humidity to trigger an infection.

#### 2.2.1. Data Observation

Data observation included: (i) Increase of lesion diameter. Increased diameter of the lesion was measured every 24 hours after inoculation. The diameter of the infection was determined from the average diameter data transversely, longitudinally, and diagonally minus the diameter of the initial lesion. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and followed by Duncan's multiple test; and (ii) Retardation of infection. Retardation of infection was calculated by comparing the infections that occur in the treatment and control. The effectiveness of treatment can be assessed by the extent of infection by smaller pathogens [12]. Retardation of infection was calculated by the formula:

$$Ri (\%) = \frac{dC - dT}{dC} \times 100\%$$

Note: *Ri* = Retardation of infection (%); *dT* = diameter in treatment (cm); *dC* = diameter in control (cm).

Liquid smoke solutions were made in five levels of concentration, namely: C<sub>0</sub>, C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, and C<sub>4</sub>, each of which were 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100%. The treatment of liquid smoke were applied to the citrus fruit by surface wetting by using different brushes at each concentration level, and they stored in labeled plastic boxes respectively.

## 3. Result

### 3.1. Liquid Smoke Properties

Table 1. Quality of coconut-waste liquid smoke.

Parameter	Sampel	International Standards
Yield (mL/kg)	300	-
Color	Brownish yellow	Pale yellow-brown
pH	2	1.5 – 3.7
Transparency	Without dispersion	Without dispersion
Density (g/mL)	1.02	≤ 1.0005
Phenol presence	exist	-
Acid value (%)	10.7	1-18



The overall characteristics of liquid smoke from coconut waste (Table 1) meet international liquid smoke standards, which include specific gravity, color, acidity, and pH in the required range and the absence of dispersed substances.

### 3.2. Pathogenic Characteristics

The results of macroscopic and microscopic observations of both pathogenic fungi *P. digitatum* and *P. italicum* culture in PDA media with characteristics as in Table 2 and Figure 1. *P. digitatum* isolate was characterized by mycelium with an olive-green to brown-green top surface due to the presence of a colored conidia mass, smooth hyphae, the lower part of the mycelium which was white until cream, no exudate, and the smooth surface texture of the mycelium. Whereas *P. italicum*, this gray-blue mold when viewed from the top surface was orange to brown from the bottom surface, had a smooth and septated hyphae and an exudate was produced at the top of the mycelium. The surface of the mycelium of *P. italicum* is more bumpy than that of *P. digitatum* [13, 18].




Figure 1. (a) and (b) are morphologies of *P. digitatum* and *P. italicum* mycelium that grow in PDA media; (c) and (d) are microscopic morphology of conidia and conidiophores of *P. digitatum* and *P. italicum*.

Table 2. Isolate identification on *Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum*.

Parameter	<i>P. digitatum</i>	<i>P. italicum</i>
Mycelium surface	Smooth as velvet	Rough bumpy
Color of colony mass	Olive green, then after 14 days it turned brownish green	Blue gray, then after 14 days turned gray
Hyphae shape	Have septa	Have septa
Conidiophore shape	Diverse <i>terverticillate</i>	Nearly uniform <i>terverticillate</i> ,
Conidia shape	Oval or ellipsoidal, diverse and larger sizes	Ellipsoidal and subglobose, smaller and relatively uniform size

### 3.3. Fungicidal Activity in Vivo Test

#### a) Increase of lesion diameter

Table 3. Increase of lesion diameter in 24, 48, 72, and 96 hour after incubation.

Pathogen	LC (%)	28	48	72	96
<i>P. digitatum</i>	0	2.07 b	5.36 a	8.62 a	10.19 a
		B	B	B	B
	25	1.45 ab	4.64 a	7.83 a	10.85 a
		B	B	B	B
	50	1.49 ab	4.74 a	8.03 a	11.14 a
		B	B	B	B
	75	1.21 a	4.32 a	7.67 a	11.41 a
		B	B	B	B
	100	1.38 ab	4.76 a	7.85 a	10.62 a
		B	B	B	B
<i>P. italicum</i>	0	1.30 b	2.03 b	2.54 b	3.38 b
		A	A	A	A
	25	0.24 a	0.72 a	1.31 ab	1.90 ab
		A	A	A	A
	50	0.20 a	0.48 a	0.77 a	1.09 a
		A	A	A	A
	75	0.30 a	0.38 a	0.49 a	0.78 a
		A	A	A	A
	100	0.23 a	0.43 a	0.66 a	0.97 a
		A	A	A	A

\* Note: LC = liquid smoke concentration; Numbers followed by the same letter in uppercase indicate no significant differences for variations in pathogens, and lowercase indicate no significant differences for variations in concentration according to the Duncan's multiple range test at a confidence level of 5%.



## b) Retardation of Infection

Table 4. Retardation of infection in 24, 48, 72, and 96 hour after incubation.

Pathogen	LC* (%)	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
<i>P. digitatum</i>	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	25	23.1	13.7	7.7	4.2
	50	19.5	11.9	6.4	3.9
	75	42.7	18.6	8.8	5.3
	100	22.5	10.7	8.3	4.9
<i>P. italicum</i>	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	25	81.6	63.7	47.9	43.0
	50	84.0	76.1	69.6	67.9
	75	76.3	80.5	80.2	76.1
	100	83.2	79.5	74.1	71.3

\*Note: LC = liquid smoke concentration

## 4. Discussion

The reason for testing the content of phenols and acids, because phenol compounds as antioxidants that can retard the growth of microbes. While the content of acetic acid and other organic acids that work as retarder for microbial growth (Yang *et al.*, 2016). Phenol and acid levels in liquid smoke can be used as a reference for its quality as a natural pesticide. The high phenol content was positively correlated in retarding the growth of microorganisms [6]. Phenol and terpenoid compounds in liquid smoke from pine wood turned out to damage the cell membrane and interfere with the process of respiration *Colletotrichum sp* [14]. Some organic acids are produced from the breakdown of C=C and C-O-C bonds found in cellulose and hemicellulose. Meanwhile, phenols and other aromatic compounds are produced from decomposition of lignin [15].

Microscopically *P. digitatum* and *P. italicum* have the general characteristics of the genus *Penicillium* including: insulated hyphae, conidiophores formed on hypha that branched near the tip, conidia consisting of globulos-shaped cell units that elongate like chains [17]. *P. digitatum* conidiophore was characterized by its verticillate shape, diverse branching and consisting of a few short-stemmed matulae and branches divided into three to six phialides, conidia in a cylindrical or elliptical shape, thin-walled and sized around 3.5 to 8.0 x 3.0 to 4.0 µm. Whereas conidiophores in *P. italicum* were verticillate, hyaline, sometimes there were branches with stems measuring 100 to 250 x 3.5 to 5.0 µm and the matulae approaches cylindrical, with three to six phialides [13, 18].

Observations in the *in vivo* experiment were the diameter of the lesion and the percentage of infections in citrus fruits. It turns out that there was a difference in the initial formation of mycelium in *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments. In the *in vivo* experiments, mycelium began to form at 72 hours after inoculation (Table 3). Meanwhile the *in vitro* testing was formed at 24 hours after inoculation. Environmental factors and host species appeared to be influential in retarding infection. Citrus fruit has the ability to protect itself from pathogens by forming peroxide compounds that were toxic to

pathogens. But pathogens also adapt by forming peroxidase enzymes that catalyze the breakdown of peroxide compounds into water and oxygen. The success of a pathogen to attack was determined by the speed of the pathogen in breaking of peroxide compounds [19].

In *Penicillium* rot, the infection occurred in environmental conditions with high humidity, ie humidity reached 90% with temperatures between 25 to 30°C. The reason, humidity was related to the water content in the air, if the water content was lacking, it causes dehydration pathogens and unable to carry out physiological activities. Temperature was also an important factor in cell physiology, where temperature affects the respiration of pathogenic cells. Pathogenic cell respiration will increase pathogen growth. Conversely the respiration of host plant cells will decrease their resistance to infection, so that at this temperature will be suitable for pathogens in conducting infections [20].

Table 3 shows that the increase in diameter of the infectious lesions in green rot gave a higher rate than in blue rot at all concentrations of liquid smoke treatment. It was also known that *P. digitatum* attacked citrus fruits faster than *P. italicum*. That fact was accordance with the previous study, that the green rot caused by *P. digitatum* attacks was very massive, while the blue rot occurred more slowly [21].

In green rot disease, the treatment of liquid smoke concentration not gave a difference in the diameter of the lesion of infection. Whereas in the blue rot disease, the treatment of liquid smoke treatment gave a difference in the diameter of the lesion compared to the control, except for the concentration treatment of 25%, but among fellow treatments the concentration not gave a difference in effect. This proved that, 50% liquid smoke concentration treatment gave the same effectiveness as 75 and 100%, which had anti-fungal activity against blue rot but not against green rot.

Based on datas in Table 4, the liquid smoke treatment on *P. digitatum* provided retardation at the onset of infection, but continued to decrease until 96 hours after application. In *P. italicum*, application of liquid smoke gave a higher retardation value of infection increment until the end of the observation period. This shows that *P. italicum* was more sensitive to liquid smoke treatment than *P. digitatum*. The highest retardation of



*P. italicum* was treated with 75% smoke treatment concentration, namely 76.1% in the last observation.

The concentration needed to retard the growth of hyphae or mycelium was much higher than the retarding of conidial growth. This phenomenon was caused by *Penicillium* hyphae could survive in conditions of liquid smoke with low pH acidity. Postharvest diseases in citrus fruits were dominated by fungal pathogens, because their characteristics are tolerant of the environment with a low pH [3]. Citrus fruits naturally had a low pH, which of 4 to 5 [10]. High acid levels in citrus fruits were caused by the presence of free carbonyl compounds (COOH) which were very important in creating sour taste in various fruits and vegetables [21]. This caused the low concentration of liquid smoke could not retard the growth of *Penicillium* hyphae.

The content of phenol in liquid smoke is closely related to its antifungal properties. Simple phenols in botanical pesticides caused cell membrane disruption which could result in cell damage. Phenol compounds could also retard protein synthesis in cells directly so that the cell physiology process was disrupted [22]. Phenol compounds in clove oil and thyme oil had strong antifungal activity against *Penicillium digitatum* fungus, which, by scanning electron microscope (SEM), showed that hyphae exposed to essential oils had abnormal morphology and growth points of hyphae not fully developed, so that the growth of fungi was hampered [23]. Likewise, phenol compounds contained in liquid smoke, it turned out that the retardation of blue rot disease had more intense hyphal damage compared to fungal green rot disease. In addition, hyphae penetration into fruit tissue also affects the occurrence of disease. Green rot develops faster in warm temperatures between 24 and 28°C, while blue rot was slower [13]. The temperature at the time of the experiment was suitable with the development of green rot disease characterized by hyphae growing deeper before application of liquid smoke, so the hyphae were difficult to be inhibited after application. The use of liquid smoke can also increase the resistance of host plant tissues to attack pathogens. f enzymes peroxidase (POD) and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) in blueberries significantly that could produce oxidative compounds in cells so that plant tissue was more resistant to pathogenic attack. The emergence of these enzymes could be increased by combining liquid smoke with other substances such as peach plant resins [24]. In citrus fruits, the use of liquid smoke could also induce disease resistance. This was proven by the presence of lignification in the wound tissue treated with liquid smoke. However, if the concentration is too high it can damage the fruit so it is not marketable.

## 5. Conclusion

Liquid smoke from pyrolysis of young coconut waste has proven good quality according to international quality standards, and was able to inhibit the growth of green rot pathogens (*Penicillium digitatum*) and blue rot (*Penicillium italicum*) in citrus fruits.

The treatment of liquid smoke at a concentration of 2.5%

could be retarded *Penicillium digitatum* and *Penicillium italicum* in *in vitro* experiments with retardation of 100%.

*In vivo* testing, liquid smoke treatment had a retarding effect on the development of the diameter of the blue rot infection (*P. italicum*). The highest retardation occurred in the treatment with 75% concentration of liquid smoke. Whereas for green fruit rot (*P. digitatum*) the treatment had no effect on retardation.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the support of the Directorate General of Research and Development of the Ministry of Research, Technology and the Higher Education Republic of Indonesia.

## References

- [1] Zhang S, Zheng Q, Xu B and Liu J, 2019. Identification of the fungal pathogens of postharvest disease on peach fruits and the control mechanisms of *Bacillus subtilis* JK-14. *Toxins Journal*, 11: 1-15.
- [2] Bazioli JM, Belinato JR, Costa JH, Akiyama DY, Pontes JGM, Kuppe KC, Augusto F J, de Carvalho E and Fill TP, 2019. Biological control of citrus postharvest *Phytopathogens*. *Toxins Journal*, 11: 422-460.
- [3] El-Otmani M and Ait-Oubahou A, 2011. *Citrus spp.*: orange, mandarin, tangerine, clementine, grape fruit, pampelo, lemon and lime. In E. M. Yahia (Ed.), *Postharvest biology and technology of tropical and subtropical fruits*. Cambridge: *Woodhead Publishing Series in Food Science and Nutrition*, 207: 437-515.
- [4] Erasmus A, Lennox CL, Njombolwana NS, Lesar K and Fourie FH, 2015a. Curative control of citrus green mould by imazalil as influenced by infection age, wound size, fruit exposure time, solution pH and fruit brushing after treatment. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 101: 26-36.
- [5] Erasmus A, Lennox CL, Korsten L, Lesar K and Fourie PH, 2015b. Imazalil resistance in *Penicillium digitatum* and *P. italicum* causing citrus postharvest green and blue mould: Impact and options. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 107: 66-76.
- [6] Noor E, Luditama C and Pari G, 2014. Isolation and purification of liquid smoke made from coconut shell and coir by pyrolysis and distillation. *Proceedings of the National Coconut Conference*, 8: 93-102.
- [7] Grewal, A., L. Abbey, dan L. R. Gunupuru. 2018. Production, prospects and potential application of pyrolytic acid in agriculture. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 135: 152-159.
- [8] Rahmat B, Kurniati F and Hartini E, 2015. Mahogany wood-waste vinegar as larvicide for *Spodoptera litura*. *BioResources Journal*, 10: 6741-6750 Barkai-Golan R, 2001. Postharvest disease summary: Subtropical and tropical fruits. In *Postharvest disease of fruits and vegetables: Development and control*, 1st ed.: 268-293.
- [9] Moscoso-Ramirez PA and Palou L, 2014. Effect of ethylene

- degreening on the development of postharvest *Penicillium* molds and fruit quality of early season citrus fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 91: 1–8.
- [10] Ladaniya M, 2008b. Postharvest disease and their management, in citrus fruit: Biology, Technology and Evaluation. Elsevier Inc. Amsterdam, 1st. ed.: 417–449.
- [11] Rahmat B, Pangesti D, Natawijaya D and Sufyadi D, 2014. Generating of wood-waste vinegar and its effectiveness as a plant growth regulator and pest insect repellent. *BioResources Journal*, 9: 6350–6360.
- [12] Wu Y, Ou Yang Q and Tao N, 2016. Plasma membrane damage contributes to antifungal activity of citronellal against *Penicillium digitatum*. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 53: 3853–3858.
- [13] Palou L, 2014. Chapter 2 – *Penicillium digitatum*, *Penicillium italicum* (Green Mold, Blue Mold). In postharvest decay: Control Strategies: 45–102.
- [14] Hartati S, Meliansyah R and Puspasari, L, 2013. The potential of pine wood vinegar in controlling anthracnose in red chilies. *Indonesian Journal of Phytopathology*, 9: 173–178.
- [15] Yang H, 2007. Characteristics of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin pyrolysis. *Fuel*, 86: 1781–1788.
- [16] Collard F and Blin J, 2014. A review on pyrolysis of biomass constituents: Mechanisms and composition of the products obtained from the conversion of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews* 38: 594–608.
- [17] Barnett, H. L., dan B. B. Hunter. 2006. Illustrated Genera of Imperfect Fungi (4 th). Minnesota: *The American Phytopathological Society*.
- [18] Samson RA, 2000. Introduction to Food and Airborne Fungi (6th, Illus ed.). Ithaca, New York, American Society for Microbiology.
- [19] Costa JH, Bazioli JG, de Moraes P, and Fill, TP, 2019. *Penicillium digitatum* infection mechanisms in citrus: What do we know so far? *Fungal Biology J*. 123: 584–593.
- [20] Barkai-Golan R, 2001. Postharvest disease summary: Subtropical and tropical fruits. In Postharvest disease of fruits and vegetables: Development and control, 1st ed.: 268–293.
- [21] Ladaniya M, 2008a. Fruit biochemistry, in citrus fruit: Biology, Technology and Evaluation. Amsterdam: Elsevier Inc., 1st ed.: 125–190.
- [22] Martinez, J. A. 2012. Natural Fungicides Obtained from Plants. In D. Dhanasekaran (Ed.), Fungicides for Plant and Animal Diseases, 298 pp.
- [23] Yahyazadeh M, Omidbaigi R, Zare R and Taheri H, 2008. Effect of some essential oils on mycelial growth of *Penicillium digitatum* Sacc. *World Journal Microbiology Biotechnology*, 24: 1445–1450.
- [24] Shi Z, Deng J, Fang W, Yun L, Jiao J and Wang L, 2019). Individual and combined effects of bamboo vinegar and peach gum on postharvest grey mould caused by *Botrytis cinerea* in blueberry. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 155: 86–93.