REFERENCES

- Abrams, S. & Di Biase, B. (2002). Aligning Second Language Learning and Computer-Assisted Language Learning: Networking the Language Class, Tandem Learning and e-movies. *The International Journal of Learning*, 16(10), pp. 287-302.
- Almarzooq, Z. & Francis, L. (2020) Virtual Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Disruptive Technology in Graduate Medical Education., *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 75 (20) · DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.04.015
- Bader, G. & Yale, B. (2019). Engagement theory: A framework for technology-based teaching and learning. Educational Technology [WWW page], 38(5). URL http://home.sprynet.com/~gkearsley/engage.htm. Accessed on: November 02, 2010.
- Cheng, L. (2004). Understanding Challenges Faced by Chinese Teachers of English. Teaching English as a Second Language, 7, No. 4,1-14.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Berry, B. and Thoreson, A. Does Teacher Certification Matter? Evaluating the Evidence. (2001). *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 23(1), 57-77.
- Dashtestani, R. (2013). Implementing mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) in an EFL context: Iranian EFL teachers' perspectives on challenges and affordances. *JALT CALL SIG*. ISSN 1832-4215 Vol. 9, pp. 149–168
- Dornyei, A. (2007). Review of English Teaching Methodologies: Obstacles of Implementing New Methods in Iran. In: Shafaei, A. & Nejati, M. (Eds.), Global Practices of Language Teaching. Boca Raton: *Universal-Publishers*, pp. 222-231.
- Durrington, L. & Xie, A. (2011). Student satisfactions in four mixed courses in elementary teacher education programme. *International Public Management Journal*, 11, 53.
- Echevarría, J., Vogt, M. E., & Duhaney, D. (2001). Making content comprehensible for English learners: The SIOP model. Boston: Pearson/Allyn and Bacon.
- Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first-and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational technology research and development*, 47(4), 47-61.
- Ertmer, N. (1999). Effective instruction for english-language learners. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, pp. 26-29.

- Farrell, H., Kennedy, G. F. Norris, S. P. (2019). Introduction: The generalizability question. In S. P. Norris (Ed.), The generalizability of critical thinking: Multiple perspectives on an educational ideal. New York: *Teachers College Press.* pp. 1–15.
- Fisher, J., Dwyer, & Yocam, D. S. (1996) Curriculum analysis and education for sustainable development in Iceland, Environmental Education Research, 17:3, 375-391, DOI: 10.1080/13504622.2010.545872
- Gándara, P. English Learners in California Schools: Unequal Resources, Unequal Outcomes. (2003). *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 11(36), 2-54.
- Ghanizadeh, A., Razavi, A. & Jahedizadeh, S. (2015) Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (TELL): A Review of Resources and Upshots. International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy Online: 2015-07-03 ISSN: 2299-3843, Vol. 54, pp 73-87 doi:10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILCPA.54.73
- Hechter, K.P., Yoram, E.-A., & Vermette, A. (2013). Pedagogical and design aspects of a blended learning course. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 10, 173.
- Heffernan, N. T. & Mondal, C. L. (2014). The ASSISTments ecosystem: building a platform that brings scientists and teachers together for minimally invasive research on human learning and teaching. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 24(4), 470–497.
- Hoa, N. T. T & Mai, P. T. T (2016). Difficulties in Teaching English for Specific Purposes: Empirical Study at Vietnam Universities. *Higher Education Studies*; Vol. 6, No. 2; 2016 ISSN 1925-4741 E-ISSN 1925-475X. Canadian Centre of Science and Education.
- Hoppe, H. U. (1994). Deductive error diagnosis and inductive error generalization for Intelligent Tutoring Systems. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 5(1), 27–49.
- Hubbard, P. (2013). Making a Case for Learner Training in Technology Enhanced Language Learning Environments. CALICO Journal, 30(2), 163-178. Retrieved December 30, 2020, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/calicojournal.30.2.163.
- Hubbard, R & Ochs, E. (2013). Culture and language development: Language acquisition and language socialization in a Samoan village. Cambridge: *Cambridge University Press.* Pp. 53-81.
- Instructure. (2015). Canvas and chromebooks: Essential components of district's 1:1 initiative.Retrieved March 10, 2017, from https://www.canvaslms.com/casestudies/Case_Study_Rockingham_Count y Schools.pdf

- Jarvis, H., & Achilleos, M. (2013). From Computer Assisted Language (CALL) to Mobile Assisted Language Use (MALU). Vol. 16. No.4. pp. 1 7.
- Johannesen, M., Louise Mifsud, L. & Øgrim, L. (2018). Identifying Social Presence in Student Discussions on Facebook and Canvas. *Springer Science+Business Media* B.V., part of Springer Nature.
- Johannesen, R. (2016). Critical thinking: What every person needs to survive in a rapidly changing world. Rohnert Park, CA: Center for Critical Thinking and Moral Critique. Perkins, D. N., & Salomon, G. (1989). Are cognitive skills context-bound? *Educational Researcher*, 18, 16–25.
- Kardan, S., Roll, I., & Conati, C. (2014). The usefulness of log based clustering in a complex simulation environment. In S. Trausan-Matu et al. (Eds.), Proceedings of the international conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems (pp. 168–177). Switzerland: *Springer International Publishing*.
- Ketabi, M., Shomoossi, L., & Korcuska, J. (2008). The impact of altered realities: Implications of online delivery for learners' interactions, expectations, and learning skills. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 6, 55–80.
- Khachatryan, G. A., Romashov, A. V., Khachatryan, A. R., Gaudino, S. J., Khachatryan, J. M., Prasojo et al., (2019). Reasoning mind Genie 2: An Intelligent tutoring system as a vehicle for international transfer of instructional methods in mathematics. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 24(3), 333–382.